

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/828,321	SEKI, SHUICHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Trinh Vo Dinh	2821	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Trinh Vo Dinh (Primary Examiner).

(3) _____.

 (2) Dennis Hubbs (Attorney).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a)

Claims discussed:

3 and 21

Prior art documents discussed:


6,258,618 and 2003/0160259

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner presents new references US 6,258,618 and US 2003/0160259 which disclose the claimed limitations of claim 3 as well as claim 21. After approval of the client, the Applicant's representative (Dennis Hubbs) agreed to cancel claims 3 and 21, in order to overcome the cited arts and to place the applicant in a condition for allowance. .